January 31, 2006

Dr. Barbara Hioco  
President  
Reedley College  
995 North Reed Avenue  
Reedley, CA 93654

Dear President Hioco:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting on January 11-13, 2006, reviewed the institutional self study report and the report of the evaluation team which visited Reedley College on Tuesday, October 25-Thursday, October 27, 2005. The Commission took action to reaffirm accreditation with a requirement that the college complete a Progress Report. The report will be followed by a visit by Commission representatives.

The Commission asks that a Progress Report be submitted by October 15, 2006. The Progress Report should focus on the recommendations and concerns as noted below:

Recommendation 1: Student Learning Outcomes

The team recommends that the college conduct meaningful, timely, and inclusive dialogue with all constituent groups to identify, develop, and implement student learning outcomes at the course, program, and degree level. The college should determine and implement relevant assessment methodologies and procedures to evaluate student learning outcomes and enhance institutional effectiveness. (Standards I.B.1, I.B.4, I.B.7, II.A.1c, II.A.2a, II.A.2b, II.A.2e, II.A.2f, II.A.2g, II.A.2i, II.A.3, II.A.6, II.A.6a, II.B.1, II.B.4, II.C.1a, II.C.2, III.A.1b, III.A.1c, IV.A.1, IV.A.2b, IV.B.1b)

Recommendation 2: Institutional Planning

The team recommends that the college develop, implement, and evaluate a college-wide strategic plan that incorporates the individual planning efforts of the college and centers. The college-wide strategic plan should include assessment of student and community needs in order to determine the efficiency of college programs and services and to improve institutional effectiveness. Additionally, the strategic plan should identify and define the allocation of fiscal, physical, human,
and technical resources that are required during all operational hours for existing centers and campuses and those that will be needed as future centers and campuses are developed. (Standards I.B.1, I.B.2, I.B.3, I.B.4, I.B.6, I.B.7, III.A.2, III.B.1, III.B.1a, III.B.1b, III.B.2, III.B.2a, III.B.2b, III.C.1, III.C.1.a, III.C.1c, III.C.1d, III.C.2, III.D, III.D.1a, III.D.1b, III.D.2b)

**Recommendation 3: Dialogue**

The team recommends that the college improve communication by engaging in dialogue that is inclusive of all constituents, informed, and intentional about institutional quality and improvement. The dialogue should purposefully contribute to planning and institutional change. This dialogue must include formal and informal pathways for effective communication links and conflict resolution mechanisms so that information and recommendations are equally accessible to all constituent groups and centers. (Standards I.A.3, I.4, I.B.1, I.B.2, I.B.3, I.B.4, IB.5, IB.6, IB.7, IVA.1)

**Recommendation 4: Program Review**

The team recommends that the college implement the revised program review process. This process should include the assessment of student learning outcomes along with other assessments that yield quantitative and qualitative data for analysis. This information should be used for planning, decision-making, program improvement, and resource allocation. (Standards I.B, II.A.2, II.A.1c, II.B.1, II.B.3, II.B.4, II.C.2, III.A.1c, III.A.6, III.C.2, IV.A.1, IV.A.2)

**Recommendation 5: Library and Learning Resources**

The team recommends that the college fully implement the previous team’s recommendation by ensuring that professionally qualified library and learning resource staff provide support at all locations where these services are offered currently and will be needed as future centers and campuses are developed. (Standards II.C.1a, II.C.1b, II.C.1c, II.C.2)

**Recommendation 6: College Strategic Planning Connection with District Strategic Planning**

The team recommends that the college develop, implement, and evaluate a college-wide strategic plan that 1) incorporates the individual planning efforts of the college and 2) results in a cohesive planning framework. Simultaneously, the college should remain
cognizant of the strategic direction of the State Center Community College District as it moves toward increasing the number of colleges in the district. (Standards I.B.1, I.B.2, I.B.3, I.B.4, I.B.6, I.B.7, III.A.2, III.B.1, III.B.1a, III.B.1b, III.B.2, III.B.2a, III.B.2b, III.C.1, III.C.1a, III.C.1c, III.C.1d, III.C.2, III.D, III.D.1a, III.D.1b, III.D.2b)

The Commission is unclear regarding the District’s plans for Reedley College’s centers in Clovis and Madera which the team heard would eventually grow into colleges.

Please note that a revised copy of the visiting team’s report is attached. Additional copies may now be duplicated. The Commission requires you to give the team report and this letter appropriate dissemination to your college staff and to those who were signatories of your college self study report. This group should include the Chancellor, campus leadership, and the Board of Trustees. The Commission also requires that the team report and the self study report be made available to the public. Placing copies in the college library can accomplish this. Should you want the report electronically to place on your web site or for some other purpose, please contact Commission staff.

The recommendations contained in the evaluation team report represent the observations of the evaluation team at the time of the visit. The Commission reminds you that while an institution may concur or disagree with any part of the team report, it is expected that the report will be used to improve the educational programs and services of the institution.

All colleges are required to file a Midterm Report in the third year after each comprehensive evaluation. Reedley College should submit the Midterm Report by October 15, 2008. Midterm Reports indicate progress toward meeting the evaluation team's recommendations and forecast where the college expects to be by the time of the next comprehensive evaluation. The college also includes a summary of progress on college-identified concerns as expressed in the self study.

The college conducted a comprehensive self study as part of its evaluation. The Commission suggests that the plans for improvement of the institution included in that document be taken into account in the continuing development of Reedley College. The next comprehensive evaluation of the college will occur during Fall 2011.

Finally, let me take this opportunity to remind you that federal legislation affecting accrediting agencies requires that accredited colleges conduct systematic assessment of educational outcomes (see especially Standards One and Two). A further requirement is that accrediting agencies pay close attention to student loan default rates.
On behalf of the Commission, I wish to express continuing interest in the institution's educational programs and services. Professional self-regulation is the most effective means of assuring integrity, effectiveness and quality.

Sincerely,

Barbara A. Beno
Barbara A. Beno, Ph.D.
President

BAB/tl

cc: Dr. Thomas Crow, Interim Chancellor, State Center Community College District
    Ms. Kim Perry, Accreditation Liaison Officer
    Board President, State Center Community College District
    Dr. Jackie Fisher, Sr., Team Chair
    Evaluation Team Members

Enclosure